

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/522,910	09/13/2005	Tony Amato	745691-37	6657	
22204 NIXON PEAE	7590 04/20/2010 RODY LLP	0	EXAMINER		
401 9TH STR			ALLEN, CAMERON J		
SUITE 900 WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20004-2128	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,	1797			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/20/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/522,910	AMATO, TONY		
Examiner		Art Unit		
	CAMERON J. ALLEN	1797		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED	06 April 2010 FAILS	TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CON	DITION FOR ALLOWANCE	Ē.

- 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
  - a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
    - The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
      - Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## NOTICE OF APPEAL

The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

## **AMENDMENTS**

- 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
  - (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
    (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
  - (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
  - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
  - NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
- The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
- 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
- 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
  - The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
  - Claim(s) allowed: 57-64.
  - Claim(s) objected to: 43,45,50-54,56 and 67.
  - Claim(s) rejected: 36-42,44,46-49,55,66 and 68
  - Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

## AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
- REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
- 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
- Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other:
- /Walter D. Griffin/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claims have been amended to provide clarification and definition over the prior art but now raise new issues that have not been previously examined. The applicant has now submitted amended claim 3 on that if urther limits the claim to a more specific embodiment. This amendment would require further search of claim 36 and its dependent claims since the specific embodiment was not claimed nor searched during examination. Specifically the embodiment is where "ALL axially adjacent operating devices are radially non-parallel and radially non-opposing". Therefore the amendment will not be entered since it does not place the application in condition for allowance.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding claims 38-42, 44, 46-49, 55, 66, and 68, the applicant argues that even though the claim contains open language that it could not include the extra features of the prior art. The reasoning is that the included features would not be as effective as the device disclosed in the specification of the instant application. The applicant argues that the Examiner's interpretation would yield several embodiments. It is noted that by applicant admission on page 10 paragraph 2, that the claim language is open ended and therefore can include additional features not listed in claim 36. MPEP 211.03 states "The transitional term "comprising", which is synonymous with "including", "containing," or "characterization," by is inclusive or open-ended and does not exclude additional, unrecited elements or method steps. See, e.g., > Mars Inc., v. H.J. Heinz Co., 377 F.3d 1369, 1376, 11 USPC2d 1387, 1483 (Fed. Cir. 2004)". Therefore giving the claims broadest reasonable interpretation, the claims were examined as written. Therefore the arguments are not found persuasive. Independent claim 36 has been amended for further clarification of the specific body to be examined. The new specific embodiment has not been examined.